

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
Plaintiff, ) 8:10CR164  
vs. )  
MIGUEL A. CASTANEDA, ) ORDER  
Defendant. )

This matter is before the Court on the motion of defendant Miguel A. Castaneda (Castaneda) to enlarge time ([Filing No. 34](#)). The motion does not comply with NECrimR 12.3 (a) and paragraph 9 of the Progression Order ([Filing No. 9](#)) in that the motion is not accompanied by the defendant's affidavit or declaration stating that defendant:

(1) Has been advised by counsel of the reasons for seeking an extension;  
(2) Understands that the time sought by the extension may be excluded from any calculation of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 et seq.; and  
(3) With this understanding and knowledge, agrees to the filing of the motion.

Accordingly, the motion ([Filing No. 34](#)) is :

(X) Held in abeyance pending compliance with NECrimR 12.3(a) and Paragraph 9 of the Progression Order. Absent compliance **on or before June 17, 2010**, the motion will be deemed withdrawn and termed on the docket.

( ) Denied.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED this 11th day of June, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken  
United States Magistrate Judge